IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

KENNETH E. FINK, :

Petitioner,

:

v. : Civ. Act. No. 06-181-GMS

:

THOMAS L. CARROLL,

Warden, and CARL C. DANBERG,

Attorney General for the State of Delaware, :

c, . .

Respondents.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Pursuant to Rule 6 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, respondents move for an extension of time in which to file an answer to the petition. In support thereof, respondents state the following:

- 1. The petitioner, Kenneth E. Fink, has applied for federal habeas relief, challenging his 2002 conviction by a Delaware Superior Court jury of fifteen counts of unlawfully dealing in child pornography and fifteen counts of possession of child pornography. D.I. 1. By the terms of the Court's order, the answer is due to be filed on May 22, 2006.
- 2. Counsel for respondents have been, and continue to be, diligently working on numerous cases before this Court, the Third Circuit, and the state courts, including other first degree murder cases. The departure of two deputies (and the imminent departure of a third deputy) from the Appeals Division has increased the workload for the few remaining attorneys and makes the reassignment of this case impracticable. In light of the situation, additional time is needed to complete the answer.
 - 3. Under Habeas Rule 4, the Court has the discretion to give respondents an extension of

time exceeding the 40-day limit in Civil Rule 81(a)(2). *Clutchette v. Rushen*, 770 F.2d 1469, 1473-74 & n.4 (9th Cir. 1985); *Kramer v. Jenkins*, 108 F.R.D. 429, 431-32 (N.D. Ill. 1985). The comment to Rule 4 expressly states that the district court has "the discretion to take into account various factors such as the respondent's workload" in determining the period of time that should be allowed to answer the petition.

- 4. This is respondents' first request for an extension of time in this case.
- 5. Respondents submit that an extension of time to and including July 10, 2006, in which to file an answer is reasonable. Respondents submit herewith a proposed order.

/s/ Loren C. Meyers Chief of Appeals Division Del. Bar. ID No. 2210

/s/ Elizabeth R. McFarlan Deputy Attorney General Del. Bar. ID No. 3759

Department of Justice 820 N. French Street Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 577-8500

DATE: May 22, 2006

RULE 7.1.1 CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that counsel for the petitioner, Joseph M. Bernstein, Esquire, has been contacted and he has indicated that he has no objection to the subject matter of this motion.

<u>/s/ Elizabeth R. McFarlan</u> Deputy Attorney General

Counsel for Respondents

Date: May 22, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 22, 2006, I electronically filed a motion for extension of time and attachment with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing to Joseph M. Bernstein, Esq.

/s/ Elizabeth R. McFarlan
Deputy Attorney General
Department of Justice
820 N. French Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 577-8500
Del. Bar. ID No. 3759
elizabeth.mcfarlan@state.de.us

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

KENNETH E. FINK,	:
Petitioner,	: :
v.	: Civ. Act. No. 06-181-GMS
THOMAS L. CARROLL, Warden, and CARL C. DANBERG, Attorney General for the State of Delaware, Respondents.	: : : : : : :
	ORDER
Thisday of	, 2006,
WHEREAS, respondents having re-	quested an extension of time in which to file an
answer, and	
WHEREAS, it appearing to the Cou	art that the requested extension is timely made and
good cause has been shown for the extension	ι,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that resp	pondents' answer shall be filed on or before July 10,
2006.	
	United States District Judge